Charging ahead: Overcoming fast charging infrastructure challenges with comprehensive solutions

Introduction

The transportation sector is undergoing a profound transformation toward electrification in order to reduce greenhouse gas emissions. Road mobility accounts for roughly one-quarter of global CO_2 emissions – the second-largest emitting sector after power generation.

Heavy-duty vehicles (e.g. trucks and buses) are a significant contributor within transportation emissions, making their decarbonization a high priority. Electrifying commercial fleets, especially medium- and heavy-duty trucks, is seen as a key pathway to curb emissions and meet climate goals. However, the shift to battery-electric trucks introduces new challenges, particularly the need for high-power charging infrastructure capable of rapidly recharging large battery packs.

Electrified trucking requires charging during mandated driver rest periods to avoid impacting operations. For example, the European Union requires a 45-minute break after 4.5 hours of driving (and the U.S. 30 minutes after 8 hours), which creates an opportunity for mid-trip charging.

To recharge a long-haul electric truck within such breaks, extremely high charging power is needed – far beyond typical passenger EV chargers. Depending on route length and schedules, required charging power for heavy trucks can range from ~up to nearly 1.3 MW for a single vehicle.

In practice, charging a Class-8 semitruck in a reasonable time (e.g. under an hour) demands at least 350 kW per connector, and charging multiple trucks simultaneously at a large depot or truck stop may require on the order of 20–25 MW of total site power. Operators are already planning massive "electric truck stops" with peak loads exceeding 25 MW to support high-power chargers for fleet use.

Such high-power charging requirements bring significant technical and economic challenges. The grid connection for a multi-megawatt charging station can be as large as a small town's substation, raising issues of power availability, infrastructure upgrades, and cost. At the same time, commercial fleet operators demand that charging be fast and ultra-reliable – downtime or slow charging directly translates to lost productivity.

Data and Methods

To explore these challenges and potential solutions, Schneider Electric conducted a focused study by interviewing leading charge point operators (CPOs), fleet owners, and electric truck manufacturers in Europe and North America. The participants included medium- and heavy-duty fleet operators, public and private CPOs, and truck original equipment manufacturers (OEMs). Each interview covered four main themes:

(1) **Charging use cases and power requirements** – current and expected power levels (kW to MW) needed and typical station sizes (number of chargers) for various fleet use cases (depot, en-route highway charging, etc.).
(2) **Challenges and influencing factors in building and operating high-power charging stations** – including grid connection issues, reliability and uptime needs, scalability, and economic factors.

Public

(3) **Technologies and architectures likely to enter mainstream** – such as the upcoming Megawatt Charging System (MCS) standard for trucks, and the potential shift from traditional AC-distribution systems to DC-based architectures with advanced power electronics.
(4) **Specific constraints or concerns that could hinder deployment** –safety, standards, vehicle readiness, or other bottlenecks. Interviewers noted whether particular points were raised by many or only a few respondents, to gauge consensus.

In addition to the qualitative insights from industry interviews, we reviewed external reports and technical literature to provide context and validation. Notably, we incorporated data from the International Energy Agency (IEA) and International Council on Clean Transportation (ICCT) on emissions and EV deployment, as well as research on EV charging reliability and advanced power electronics. We also gathered case examples of emerging high-power charging projects and specifications of charging standards from industry alliances. This allowed us to compare the interview findings with broader industry trends and scientific criteria from engineering studies.

Results

Charging Use Cases and Power Requirements

High-Power Charging Demand for Commercial EVs: The interviews confirmed that the availability of fast and ultra-fast charging with minimal waiting time is a precondition for electrifying long-haul and regional trucking. All fleet and CPO respondents emphasized that charging power must scale to the needs of heavy vehicles, which far exceed those of passenger cars. In practice, required power levels vary widely by application – from as low as ~150 kW for some regional operations to as high as 800–1000 kW (1 MW) for large trucks on intensive duty cycles.

Interviewees unanimously viewed Megawatt Charging System (MCS) technology – which supports ~1 MW+ per vehicle – as a critical enabler for long-haul electric trucks. MCS is engineered for up to ~3.75 MW (1250 V, 3000 A) in its full specification, providing ample headroom for future needs. In the near term, initial implementations will likely be around 1–1.5 MW per truck.

Depot vs. En-Route Charging Scenarios: Two primary use-case scenarios emerged: large depot charging hubs for fleet operations and in-route highway stations for opportunity charging during trips. Depot charging, particularly for parcel delivery and regional trucking fleets, often involves installing dozens of charging points at a single site to serve an entire truck fleet. Interviewees indicated that a large fleet depot could require 50–150 charging bays to support vehicle rollouts over the coming decade. With simultaneous high-power charging, the peak site power for such a depot can reach 20–25 MW or more. One European fleet operator projected needs up to ~24 MW for a 150-charger electric truck depot.

In-route charging plazas (e.g. along highways) will likewise need multi-megawatt capacity, albeit typically with fewer chargers than the largest depots. A highway rest-stop might deploy a handful of ultra-fast chargers (350 kW to 1 MW each) for opportunity charging of trucks on long trips. Notably, these high-power stations will initially supplement, not replace, overnight depot charging at lower power – many medium-duty trucks and buses can still charge over several hours at depots. However, for routes that exceed one charge per day or involve tight schedules, the availability of in-route megawatt-level charging is seen as crucial to make electric operation viable.

All interview participants agreed that multi-megawatt site power will become common as electric truck adoption grows – in fact, 100% of the consulted CPOs anticipated the need for charging hubs drawing “multiple megawatts of power” and stressed that coordinating with utilities for sufficient grid supply is a major challenge in realizing such sites.

Key Challenges in High-Power Charging Infrastructure

The transition to high-power charging for commercial EVs brings a host of challenges. Our interviews identified recurring technical, operational, and economic challenges that stakeholders face.

Grid Connection and Power Supply: Obtaining a suitable grid connection was universally cited as a top challenge. A multi-megawatt charging station requires a high-capacity grid link and possibly new utility infrastructure. Many sites lack existing capacity for an additional 5–20+ MW load, so developers must negotiate upgrades with utilities. This process can be slow and costly. Participants shared that securing grid capacity and permits can introduce long lead times – on the order of months to years. For example, in some countries like France or Norway, getting approval for the needed power supply can take ~6 months, whereas in others like Germany it may take 12–18 months due to more complex permitting and coordination.

Different local distribution system operators (DSOs) may have varying requirements, adding complexity for networks planning stations across regions. Several interviewees noted that the bureaucratic and technical hurdles of grid upgrades (e.g. installing new transformers, switchgear, and feeder lines) can substantially delay projects and inflate costs. In fact, ensuring access to a high-power grid was described as the "major constraint" for enabling fast charging of electric trucks.

This aligns with external analyses that grid availability is a bottleneck; for instance, a recent industry comment noted that each MCS charging port (up to ~1 MW) effectively needs utility support equivalent to powering hundreds of homes. In response, some projects are incorporating local energy generation and storage to mitigate peak grid demand. But overall, grid integration remains a primary challenge to scaling EV truck charging.

Infrastructure Reliability and Uptime: The reliability of charging stations – i.e. minimizing charger downtime – emerged as the top operational priority for fleet operators. In commercial logistics, a charger outage can directly disrupt fleet operations or public charging services, so stakeholders demand very high uptime. "Downtime of chargers is unacceptable" was a common refrain. Many operators set stringent Service Level Agreements (SLAs) with maintenance providers or manufacturers, often targeting 98–99% uptime for charging equipment.

Achieving this level consistently is challenging in practice, given the harsh duty cycle of high-power electronics and the need for rapid repairs. Notably, 100% of the interviewed CPOs and fleet operators in our study cited charger uptime as the number-one consideration when building and operating stations. Despite these goals, real-world studies show reliability issues persist in EV charging infrastructure

The gap underscores the importance of robust hardware and maintenance programs for HPC stations. Fleet-focused charging sites may need on-site technicians or rapid repair contracts to minimize downtime. In our study, some participants indicated they contract out 24/7 maintenance and stock critical spare parts to achieve high uptime. System reliability is especially critical for high-power sites because a single failure can disable multiple chargers if not designed with redundancy. Ensuring near-continuous availability of charging – through durable equipment, redundancy, and proactive maintenance – is a paramount challenge as well as a primary focus area.

Efficiency and Energy Losses: High-power charging involves multiple power conversion steps that can incur losses and waste energy as heat. Efficient use of power was highlighted as a major technical consideration by many interviewees. Typical end-to-end efficiency of a DC fast charging station is on the order of 90%. State-of-the-art chargers can achieve inverter efficiencies of ~94–95%, but there are still non-trivial aggregate losses. In a 1 MW charging session, even a 5–10% loss translates to 50–100 kW of waste heat, which may necessitate active cooling. Operators are therefore keen to minimize conversion steps and resistive losses. Some CPOs indicated they prefer integrated power electronics to reduce the number of intermediate connections and long cable runs, thereby cutting resistive losses. Others are exploring higher system voltages (1500 V DC distribution) to lower currents for the same power, which also improves efficiency by reducing I^2R losses. Overall, while efficiency may not have the same headline appeal as reliability, it directly affects operating costs and even the size of grid connection needed. Thus, optimizing the efficiency of each component in the charging infrastructure is an important challenge, especially as systems reach megawatt scales.

Capital and Operating Costs: The economics of high-power charging are a complex challenge, as both CapEx and (OpEx can be very high. On the CapEx side, building a multi-megawatt station entails costly equipment, major electrical works, and potentially civil construction. If a utility grid upgrade is needed, the site developer may face hefty upfront fees for new transformers or substations.

On the OpEx side, maintaining a large charging hub – keeping uptime high, performing repairs, managing software and billing systems – is also costly. Energy costs themselves are significant: drawing power at megawatt levels can incur demand charges or require careful load management to avoid peak tariffs. Our study found that private fleet operators tend to be more sensitive to OpEx, since they often bear the electricity and maintenance costs over the life of the chargers, whereas some public entities might emphasize upfront CapEx and view operations differently. This influences procurement choices: a private logistics fleet might invest more in higher-quality equipment or service contracts to guarantee uptime, effectively trading higher CapEx for lower OpEx over time. In contrast, a public charging provider might seek grant funding for CapEx and accept somewhat higher OpEx. Selecting the right business model (ownership vs. service contracts, etc.) and balancing CapEx vs OpEx is thus a key consideration. The revenue model for recovering these costs is uncertain in an emerging EV market. Payback periods for charging investments are hard to predict because utilization of chargers will grow as the EV fleet grows. Interviewees generally target a 5–7 year payback on charging infrastructure but acknowledged this is highly variable. Government incentives are often critical to make the business case viable in the early years. All stakeholders agreed that without incentives or high utilization, profitability is challenging given the upfront investment. Therefore, financial viability remains a challenge until a critical mass of EV trucks is on the road to ensure high station utilization.

Scalability and Future-Proofing: A less immediate but important challenge is designing sites that can scale up in capacity and adapt to evolving technology. Battery and charging technologies are rapidly improving – what is state-of-the-art now may be insufficient in just a few years. Several respondents noted that infrastructure built even 5 years ago might not meet the needs of the latest EV models, and similarly, vehicles coming in the next 3–5 years may demand higher power or different standards than today's. Thus, when investing in charging hubs, they must be future-proofed as much as possible. This could mean provisioning extra electrical capacity, using modular designs that allow adding more charger units later, or reserving space for expansion. Many trucks today use the CCS (Combined Charging System) standard up to 500 kW. Even after MCS is introduced, fleets will likely continue using CCS for some time (given the large base of CCS chargers).

Therefore, a depot built now should ideally accommodate current CCS chargers but be ready to retrofit MCS in the future as vehicles adopt the new standard. This might involve installing MCS-compatible electrical infrastructure ahead of time, so that new MCS outlets can be added with minimal disruption. Interviewees stressed that scalability is vital: the design should allow adding more power or more charge points without complete redesign. In practice, however, planning for an uncertain future is difficult – companies must make educated guesses about EV adoption rates, battery sizes, and charging standards. This uncertainty itself was cited as a challenge. Some firms are now deliberately over-building infrastructure in anticipation of future needs. The challenge is making these investments pay off in a reasonable time frame.

Site Design and User Experience: Lastly, stakeholders highlighted challenges around the practical design and operation of charging sites, especially balancing requirements for different use cases. For fleet depots, simplicity and reliability take precedence – the charging system should be as robust and straightforward as possible. Many fleet operators prefer using fewer moving parts and less complex systems to reduce failure points.

For example, a depot might opt for sturdy gantry-mounted charging cables or automated connectors to streamline the process of plugging in many trucks with minimal error or damage. Conversely, public charging stations must consider a broader user base and hence often incorporate more sophisticated features: user authentication, payment systems, safety interlocks, and sometimes amenities like driver lounges or rest facilities. Public sites may also have space constraints or require aesthetically integrated equipment.

Operationally, managing these sites involves dynamic power distribution and ensuring queuing systems or reservations to avoid downtime for drivers. While these design and user-experience aspects are not purely technical, they are critical for real-world success. A poorly designed layout could lead to trucks blocking each other or difficulty maneuvering large vehicles to charging stalls. Interviewees with depot experience noted the importance of layout planning and on-site energy management systems to monitor and adjust loads. The design and operational optimization of high-power charging sites – tailored to either depot or public needs – is a multifaceted challenge that goes hand-in-hand with the electrical challenges. Effective site design can help maintain high uptime, ensure safety, and improve overall efficiency of the charging process.

Emerging Technologies and Solutions for High-Power Charging

Despite the challenges noted, several technological trends and innovative approaches are emerging that offer potential solutions. The interviews and supplementary research pointed to a convergence of new hardware standards, power system architectures, and energy management strategies that can collectively “fill the gaps” in current infrastructure. Key developments include the MCS standard for vehicles, a shift toward DC-based site power architectures, integration of advanced power electronics like solid-state transformers, and smarter ways to manage energy (renewables and storage).

Megawatt Charging Standard (MCS): Foremost, the rollout of the MCS connector standard is poised to resolve the need for higher per-vehicle charging power. The MCS is a new high-power charging interface specifically designed for commercial medium- and heavy-duty EVs. As noted earlier, MCS supports up to 1250 V and 3000 A (direct current) – enabling charging rates up to 3.75 MW in theory. In practical terms, initial implementations will likely be around 1 MW (which still more than doubles the ~350–500 kW max of today’s passenger-car standard CCS).

Interviewees indicated strong support for MCS – they see it as essential to “make long-haul operations attractive” by enabling ultra-fast top-ups during mandatory breaks. Several charging operators are already designing new sites “with MCS in mind” even before MCS-equipped trucks hit the market. For example, companies are installing conduit and charger plinths ready to host MCS dispensers once available. In the interim, some sites are piloting 1 MW-class charging with existing tech: one U.S. project installed 1.2 MW chargers using a provisional connector (pending MCS) to future-proof the site. The introduction of MCS in the next year or two will directly tackle the vehicle-side limitation: trucks will finally have a standardized inlet to accept ~megawatt power levels, overcoming the “connector bottleneck” of current systems. Thus, MCS is a cornerstone solution, unlocking the possibility of sub-30-minute charge times for heavy trucks (versus 2–3 hours with today's high-end chargers). It addresses the challenge of charging speed that fleets highlighted and does so with an open standard embraced by industry (ensuring interoperability).

DC-Coupled Charging Architecture: Alongside vehicle connector advances, there is a notable trend toward rearchitecting the power delivery system on the infrastructure side. Traditionally, EV fast charging sites have followed an AC-coupled architecture, where each charger unit connects to the AC grid and contains its own rectifier to convert AC to DC for the vehicle. In large installations, this can lead to many parallel conversion units, lower overall efficiency, and complex synchronization if operating off-grid. An emerging alternative is a DC-coupled architecture, where a central high-power conversion system converts AC to DC once, and then feeds a common DC bus that connects to multiple charge points. The interviews and research suggest that DC-based infrastructure is being reconsidered, especially for high-power stations.

In a DC-hub configuration, you might have one or a few big rectifier units creating a shared DC supply, from which individual vehicle chargers (essentially DC/DC converters or just smart dispensers) branch off. This approach has several theoretical advantages: it reduces the number of conversion stages, thus improving efficiency, and it allows higher-voltage distribution internally which can reduce current and losses. A technical review notes that a DC-coupled station has fewer conversion steps and can achieve higher efficiency and simpler control compared to an AC-coupled one. Our study participants who were more technically oriented also mentioned DC architecture in the context of MCS: because MCS may operate at ~1000 V or higher, it could be advantageous to keep the power in DC form once converted, rather than oscillating back to AC. A resurgence of interest in DC was reported, driven by the need for higher voltages and the desire to integrate new power electronics like solid-state transformers (SSTs).

In practical terms, DC bus systems allow charging cabinets to be placed farther from the main power conversion unit without significant losses, offering more flexibility in site layout. This could be useful in truck stops where chargers might be spread out to accommodate large vehicles. Additionally, DC architectures ease the integration of local energy storage and generation. For example, if a station has onsite solar PV or battery storage, connecting them on a DC bus avoids needing separate inverters for each and can directly support the charging demand more efficiently. One interviewee pointed out that some next-generation projects model a "DC microgrid" for the charging site, where solar panels, a battery bank, and the EV chargers all tie into a common DC system – improving efficiency and grid stability by smoothing out fluctuations.

It should be noted that DC-based infrastructure is still relatively uncommon today. Most deployments stick with conventional AC-fed chargers due to familiarity and existing standards. There are also challenges: high-power DC systems require new approaches to protection (DC fault currents behave differently and there are no zero-crossings as in AC to naturally clear faults).

Safety is a concern, as discussed later, because maintenance staff are less experienced with large DC systems. Nevertheless, the trend is clear – many industry players see DC architectures as the future for large charging hubs. In fact, some leading charger vendors have begun offering "power hub" solutions where a central unit feeds a cluster of DC dispensers. Our interviews indicated customers are increasingly interested in DC and solid-state technology for their potential to improve efficiency, reduce footprint, and enhance grid integration.

Solid-State Transformers (SST) and Power Electronics: In conjunction with the move to DC distribution, the adoption of solid-state transformers and advanced power electronics is seen as a key enabler. A solid-state transformer is essentially a high-frequency AC/DC converter that can replace conventional low-frequency transformers. SSTs can step voltage up or down and provide galvanic isolation using power semiconductor circuits instead of heavy copper windings. For charging stations, an SST-based system might take medium-voltage AC from the grid (e.g. 10 kV) and directly produce a regulated low-voltage DC output (e.g. 800 V DC) suitable for EV charging, in one conversion stage. This can dramatically reduce the size and weight of the infrastructure – traditional 50 Hz transformers are very bulky and can weigh thousands of kilograms for multi-megawatt capacity, whereas SSTs operating at high frequency are more compact.

Interviewees mentioned that solid-state transformers are being modeled into next-gen charging systems, indicating a trend in R&D. The expected benefits of SSTs include higher efficiency (by optimizing power conversion and reducing conversion steps), finer control (they can rapidly regulate voltage and current, improving power quality), and potential for bidirectional operation (enabling vehicle-to-grid (V2G) services more easily than passive transformers). SSTs can also contribute to voltage regulation and reactive power support on the grid, which might allow a charging station to help stabilize the local grid rather than purely being a load.

From a maintenance perspective, while SSTs introduce complex electronics, they may reduce some maintenance associated with traditional transformers. Our study noted that reduced maintenance is a sought-after attribute, since charging sites have many components that could fail; simplifying the system via solid-state technology could improve overall uptime. A recent IEEE paper demonstrated using an SST to design an efficient and reliable 800 V DC charging infrastructure for EVs, which corroborates the direction industry is taking. A few respondents did caution that SSTs and DC systems are new and less proven – as of now, they are mostly in prototype or pilot stage. But the consensus was that developments in DC architecture and SSTs offer “fresh solution opportunities” for the EV charging infrastructure in coming years.

Energy Management: Storage and Smart Grid Integration: Another category of solutions is in energy management and buffering to address grid limitations and sustainability goals. If a site’s grid connection is limited or if demand charges are high, adding a local battery energy storage system (BESS) can buffer the peak loads. About half of the interviewees expressed interest in integrating stationary batteries at charging sites. A BESS can charge at a slower rate from the grid or from onsite renewables, and then discharge at a high rate to EVs when multiple vehicles need fast charging simultaneously. This effectively “shaves” the peak demand seen by the grid. This kind of hybrid energy system can not only reduce strain on the grid but also improve reliability. It also brings sustainability benefits by directly using renewable energy for charging.

In addition to storage, smart charging management plays a role. Fleet depot operators can stagger charging sessions or modulate power levels to prevent all trucks from drawing peak power at the same time. Many depot charging management systems now use algorithms to ensure the total load stays under a threshold, or to schedule vehicles with flexible timing in a way that flattens the load curve. This kind of load management was mentioned as essential, especially where utilities impose demand charges – by avoiding simultaneous peaks, the station can save considerable operating cost. Furthermore, vehicle-to-grid (V2G) capability is being explored for commercial fleets. In V2G, parked vehicles could discharge energy back to the grid or facility to help balance loads. While V2G is still in early stages for heavy vehicles, several interviewees and sources cited it as an area of increasing interest to improve return on investment and grid stability. Implementing V2G at scale would require bi-directional chargers and coordination with grid operators, but pilot programs are underway for school buses and delivery fleets. The ability for charging sites to interact with the grid – whether by adjusting consumption or supplying energy – transforms them from passive loads to active participants in the energy system.

This is seen as crucial for sustainable, resilient operations, aligning with the broader push for smart grids. Investments in such smart technologies (controls, storage, V2G) were noted as essential for achieving sustainability goals in mobility.

Standards and Safety Considerations: As new technologies like MCS and DC architectures roll out, standards and safety regulations are racing to keep up. A specific concern raised in the "Specific Constraints" section of our study is safety when operating at such high voltages and currents. DC fast charging at 1000 V, 3000 A presents risks of arcing, equipment fault damage, and even user safety if not managed properly. Ensuring adequate protection in a large DC system is non-trivial – it requires fast detection and isolation of faults, robust insulation and grounding, and adherence to evolving electrical codes. Interviewees noted that standards for MCS and related safety protocols are a prerequisite for broad adoption.

Industry bodies (CharIN, IEC, ISO) are working on standards not only for the connector, but for things like communication (ISO 15118-20 for charge control and authentication) and safety shutdown mechanisms. Our findings indicate that while excitement is high for MCS and DC systems, some stakeholders remain cautious, citing that these are "less mature" technologies and concerns around safety remain. This points to the need for continued pilot testing and refinement of standards.

Overall, the emerging solutions – MCS connectors, DC-coupled station designs with SSTs, integrated storage/renewables, and advanced management systems – form a comprehensive toolkit to overcome the earlier challenges. MCS directly addresses vehicle charging speed; DC architecture and SSTs tackle efficiency, footprint, and scalability; storage and smart management mitigate grid constraints and improve reliability; and ongoing standardization is wrapping these in necessary safety and interoperability frameworks.

Discussion

It is evident that the landscape of EV charging systems for heavy-duty vehicles is rapidly evolving. Stakeholders are pushing the boundaries of charger power, while also rethinking system architecture to meet reliability and efficiency demands. We synthesized key insights and compared the current prevalent charging system design (AC-coupled fast charging) with the emerging DC-coupled architectures, evaluating them on scientific and practical criteria. We also reviewed strategies to address the challenges, bridging the gap between the present state and the future vision of ubiquitous high-power charging for commercial EVs.

Comparison of Charging System Architectures: AC vs. DC Coupled

Today's high-power EV charging installations mostly use an AC-coupled architecture, meaning each charger unit has an AC input from the grid and converts it to DC for the vehicle internally. In contrast, the proposed DC-coupled architecture centralizes the AC/DC conversion and distributes DC power to multiple charge points.

Conversion Efficiency: AC-coupled systems have multiple conversion stages. This duplication typically yields overall station efficiency around 90%. DC-coupled systems have fewer conversion stages – for example, one central conversion from AC to a DC bus – which can improve efficiency. Fewer power electronic converters mean less cumulative loss. A review of ultra-fast station designs noted that a DC-coupled configuration has "less conversion stages, higher efficiency" than the AC-coupled equivalent. Winner: DC-coupled (higher efficiency potential).

Power Density and Footprint: AC-coupled chargers each include rectification hardware and often large low-frequency transformers. This can make the footprint per charger quite large, and the site cluttered with equipment. DC architecture can eliminate redundant components – e.g. one solid-state transformer can replace multiple bulky units. This consolidation generally reduces the total equipment footprint and can free up space for more charging dispensers or easier vehicle maneuvering. Interview data suggests DC-based systems can achieve a compact footprint by removing duplicate rectifiers/transformers. Winner: DC-coupled (more compact, higher power density).

Scalability and Modular Expansion: AC chargers can be added modularly one by one, which is a familiar approach – it's easy to add another charger unit if you have available AC capacity. However, scaling to very high powers might require upgrading each unit and possibly the upstream distribution. DC systems can be designed with a modular central unit and many "satellites." They are inherently scalable by increasing the capacity of the central DC supply or adding parallel modules. Both architectures can be made scalable, but DC offers flexibility in distributing power – it can dynamically allocate available power among outlets whereas AC units are typically independent. On the flip side, DC-coupled systems may need careful design upfront to allow expansion (like leaving bus capacity). Overall, slight edge to DC for heavy-duty applications due to easier power sharing and reconfiguration. Winner: DC-coupled (for large sites).

Reliability and Redundancy: This is a mixed consideration. In AC architectures, each charger is independent – a failure in one does not directly take down others. This distributed risk can be good. In a DC system, a fault in the central unit could theoretically disable all charging outputs, a single point of failure. However, DC systems can be built with redundancies. Also, maintenance might be easier in a centralized system since there are fewer points to check. Importantly, downtime impact differs: if one module in a DC system fails, it can possibly be bypassed or the load shared by others, whereas if an AC charger fails, that one outlet is out of service until repaired. Given the mission-critical nature of fleet charging, designing any system with redundancy is key. We cannot say one architecture is inherently more reliable yet – it depends on implementation. Current AC chargers are mature and proven, while large DC systems are new. We will call this criterion a draw with a note: DC architectures must be carefully engineered with redundancy to match the reliability of AC units.

Protection and Safety: AC systems benefit from well-understood protection devices and the fact that AC waveforms naturally pass through zero 120 times a second (in 60 Hz) which helps interrupt faults. DC systems require fast electronic protection (since DC fault currents are harder to interrupt). There is also less field experience with large-scale DC distribution, so safety standards are still catching up. AC chargers have decades of field data on safety. Thus, at present, AC systems have a maturity advantage in protection. Winner: AC-coupled.

Integration of Renewables/Storage: If a site has local solar or battery storage, connecting it to an AC-coupled system means each source might need its own power conversion to sync with AC. With a DC bus, it's inherently easier to tie in DC sources (like PV arrays) or storage by using DC/DC converters, often more efficient and fewer conversion steps. The DC architecture effectively can function as a local DC microgrid. Thus, for microgrid integration, DC is superior. Winner: DC-coupled.

From an engineering perspective, the shift to DC architecture is analogous to the evolution of data centers or other power-intensive facilities that moved from many distributed power supplies to centralized high-efficiency units – typically yielding better efficiency and manageability at scale. The discussion in academic circles often highlights that the need for higher voltage and current drive reconsideration of architecture – and indeed our interviews reflected this thinking: "Higher voltages associated with MCS may drive a resurgence in DC architecture".

In summary, AC-coupled charging is currently the workhorse and meets today's needs up to ~500 kW, but as we venture into the multi-megawatt regime with many simultaneous charges, the DC-coupled, SST-enabled architecture offers a more elegant scaling path. It is not without challenges, but the consensus in both industry and literature is that it can significantly improve performance (efficiency, flexibility). We anticipate that over the next decade, many large EV truck depots will adopt DC bus architectures once standards and products mature, while small installations may stick with stand-alone AC chargers for simplicity.

Strategies to Overcome Deployment Challenges

Beyond the technical architecture, several strategic measures will be needed to overcome deployment challenges.

Early Utility Engagement and Grid Planning: To address grid connection delays and costs, a proactive approach with utility providers is essential. Project developers should engage utilities early in the site selection and design process to assess capacity and plan upgrades. In some cases, co-locating charging sites near existing high-voltage infrastructure can reduce the distance/cost of new connections. Policymakers can help by streamlining permitting – for example, some regions are establishing "one-stop" permitting processes for EV infrastructure to cut red tape. Another strategy is clustering charging sites with renewable generation to justify grid investments that serve multiple purposes. On a planning level, government and industry could conduct joint grid studies to identify future EV charging load hotspots and reinforce those grid areas in anticipation. This flips the paradigm from reactive to proactive (readying the grid for EV loads in high-priority corridors).

Integrated On-site Energy Systems: Deploying battery energy storage and renewable generation at charging sites can significantly mitigate grid challenges and improve sustainability. We recommend incorporating storage especially for large charging hubs. The storage system can be sized to handle the station's peak 15–30 minutes of demand, thereby flattening the load profile seen by the grid. This not only reduces demand charges but could potentially allow a smaller grid connection than peak power would dictate. Economic analysis should be done on a case-by-case basis, but as battery costs fall, this becomes more attractive. Solar PV can directly charge the batteries or provide DC to the chargers during sunny periods. Even though solar alone cannot fully power a large station except in rare cases, it can meaningfully offset energy costs and improve the life-cycle carbon footprint of charging. For an optimal design, these on-site resources should be controlled by an energy management system (EMS) that forecasts loads, solar production, and manages battery charge/discharge to minimize costs and ensure availability for peak shaving when needed.

Robust Maintenance and Service Models: To achieve the high uptime required, charging operators should implement robust maintenance regimes. This includes preventive maintenance and rapid corrective maintenance. Having local service teams or contracts with guaranteed response times can dramatically reduce downtime. Some operators might invest in remote monitoring systems that flag anomalies in charger operation so that issues can be addressed preemptively (for instance, detecting a cooling system fault before the charger overheats and shuts down). Another strategy is maintaining an inventory of critical spare parts locally for quick swaps – e.g. keeping an extra power module or cable in stock, so that if one fails it can be replaced the same day without waiting for international shipping. In terms of design, building redundancy ensures that no single failure cripples the station. This could mean installing one extra charger for every N chargers or, in a DC system, modular converters where remaining modules pick up slack from a failed module. Finally, uptime can be improved by overlapping networks – for public charging, ensuring there are alternative stations within range so that if one site is partially down, trucks have fallback options. Given that 100% of interviewed operators flagged uptime as critical, investing in these maintenance and redundancy measures is non-negotiable for any serious deployment.

Emphasize Efficiency and Load Management: Efficiency improvements might not show immediate ROI like reliability does, but over time they pay dividends in energy savings and possibly simpler cooling requirements. We recommend that new stations use the latest high-efficiency chargers. Over thousands of charging sessions, that difference could save megawatt-hours of energy. Moreover, managing the load by smart charging strategies can improve effective efficiency; for instance, avoid scenarios where chargers run at very low part-load where they might be less efficient. Instead, consolidate charging so that fewer units run at higher load. Dynamic load balancing software can allocate power among vehicles to maintain each charger in an optimal efficiency band when possible. Another aspect is thermal management – using liquid-cooled cables for high currents to reduce resistance losses and allow sustained high power without overheating. These technical optimizations ensure that the input power is utilized as effectively as possible for vehicle charging, which in turn reduces wasted energy and strain on the grid.

Financial Models and Policy Support: Given the high CapEx/OpEx and uncertain utilization in early years, innovative financing models and public policy support are important. One approach gaining traction is "Charging-as-a-Service" or energy-as-a-service, where a third party owns/operates the charging site and the fleet operator pays a subscription or usage fee. This can offload the upfront cost from fleets and ensure professional operation. Another approach is forming consortia or joint ventures for infrastructure – for example, multiple trucking companies and a utility might co-invest in a corridor of charging stations that they all use, sharing costs and risks. On the policy side, government incentives (grants, low-interest loans, tax credits) significantly improve the business case, as nearly all interviewees noted. Continued and expanded funding programs for medium/heavy-duty charging infrastructure will be instrumental in the next 5–10 years. Additionally, demand charge reform or special electricity tariffs for high-power chargers can reduce OpEx uncertainty. Some utilities have introduced EV-friendly commercial tariffs that average demand charges over longer periods or provide discounts for controlled charging, recognizing the grid benefit of encouraging EV adoption. Policymakers can also implement uptime standards for publicly funded chargers – this doesn't directly solve reliability but creates accountability and pushes operators to adopt maintenance strategies.

Safety Protocols and Training: As the technology pushes into new territory, rigorous safety protocols must be developed and followed. This includes everything from the design stage to operational procedures. It's advisable for companies to work closely with standards bodies and even contribute data from pilot projects to inform standards. Meanwhile, training programs for technicians and first responders should be scaled up. High-power DC equipment is a new beast for many electricians; specialized training will ensure there is a skilled workforce to service these stations safely. Manufacturers of the equipment should provide detailed maintenance and safety guidelines, and operators must enforce them. By preemptively establishing these safety measures, the industry can avoid accidents that might otherwise slow down public acceptance of high-power charging.

In implementing all the above, a collaborative approach is beneficial. The challenges of electrifying heavy transport span vehicle makers, charging providers, utilities, regulators, and fleet customers. As one interviewee noted, "eliminating emissions from road transport will require all hands on deck" – automakers, battery suppliers, grid operators, policymakers, and fleet operators working in concert.

Conclusion

This paper presented a comprehensive analysis of the requirements, challenges, and emerging solutions for high-power electric vehicle charging infrastructure, with a focus on commercial fleet and heavy-duty truck applications. By combining insights from industry stakeholder interviews with data from external research, we identified the critical factors that must be addressed to successfully deploy and operate megawatt-scale EV charging stations:

High-Power Charging Demand is Imminent: Fleet operators unanimously agree that ultra-fast charging capabilities (in the multi-hundred kW to ~1 MW per vehicle range) are required to support electric trucks on long-haul and intensive routes. Consequently, charging hubs will need to draw multi-megawatt power levels, which poses major challenges in securing grid connections and power supply. Close coordination with utilities and incorporating local generation/storage will be vital to meet these power needs.

Charger Uptime and Reliability are Paramount: Across the board, operators rate charger reliability (uptime) as the top priority in charging operations. Achieving 98–99% uptime is seen as critical yet remains difficult. Robust maintenance contracts, redundancy in design, and improved hardware durability are needed. Even the best hardware requires a strong operational plan to minimize downtime, underscoring that service and support infrastructure must evolve alongside the chargers.

Next-Generation Technologies Offer Solutions: The Megawatt Charging System (MCS) is viewed as the key enabler for future e-truck charging, allowing megawatt-level power transfer via a standardized connector. In parallel, the adoption of DC-based station architectures with solid-state transformers is anticipated to improve efficiency, reduce system footprint, and facilitate modular expansion. Our analysis indicates these innovations can collectively yield more efficient charging, higher power availability (through better power sharing and integration of storage), and potentially lower maintenance needs – addressing many challenges identified. Nonetheless, careful attention to safety and standards will be required as these technologies mature, since concerns around high-voltage DC safety remain.

Infrastructure and Operational Strategies Must Evolve: With charging scaling up, traditional approaches to fueling (diesel) need to be re-imagined in the electric context. Long-haul electrification becomes feasible when an ecosystem of ultra-fast/MCS chargers provides a seamless and efficient experience, eliminating range anxiety and excessive downtime. This involves not just technology, but smart planning – strategically located stations, managed charging to minimize wait times, and ensuring sites have amenities for drivers during charge breaks. Moreover, as fleets adopt EVs, they face a learning curve in energy management; tools like load management software, scheduling, and potentially V2G will be crucial to optimize operations.

The actionable insights from this work are clear: stakeholders should invest early in grid planning, embrace emerging charging standards and architectures, and prioritize reliability and efficiency in design and operation. By doing so, and with continued collaboration across industry and government, the sector can overcome the current hurdles. As commercial fleets move into the electric era, key prerequisites – like finalized MCS standards, available high-power equipment, and utility readiness – are falling into place.

The next decade will likely witness the scaling of both depot and en-route charging infrastructure, supported by smarter and cleaner energy supply chains. In the end, building a reliable and high-power charging network for electric trucks will require an ecosystem approach, but the benefits are far-reaching: enabling zero-emission freight transport, lower total cost of ownership in the long run, and contributions toward climate sustainability goals. In conclusion, the transition to electric heavy-duty transport is technically challenging but underway, and the outlook is increasingly optimistic.

References

[1] IEA & ICCT, Transport sector CO_2 emissions and the urgent need for electrification, 2023.
[2] CharIN, Megawatt Charging System (MCS) standard outline, CharIN Whitepaper, 2022.
[3] Valedsaravi, S. et al., “Review of Solid-State Transformer Applications on EV Ultra-Fast Charging,” Energies, vol.15, 5602, 2022.
[4] NREL, “The Dawn of Electric Trucking Calls for High-Power Charging,” NREL, Jan 2025 .
[5] California Energy Commission, EV Fast Charger Reliability Assessment, 2022 . (and additional sources embedded in text)

Author

Peter Gronwall currently serves as the Automotive Global Segment Principal Consultant for Schneider Electric. He has been with the company for more than 20 years, working with manufacturing plants around the world to design and build efficient, reliable, & sustainable factories. His previous experience includes end user sales at ABB and engineering for the Sweden Royal Navy. Mr. Gronwall holds a Masters in Systems Architecture.